

March 15, 1984

indicate to you what he needed the \$1,200 a day for?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Well, that was just breaking down, he was talking as to what had happened in other cases such as the LES case that they heard sometime back and it ran something in the neighborhood of \$1,000, \$1,200 a day but he didn't have any idea, of course, how long this would take but he didn't think it would take any 30, 40 days. He thought perhaps 12, maybe 3 or 4 days. He doesn't know any more than we do how long the case is going to take.

SENATOR HABERMAN: He must be older than Methuselah then because we haven't had a case like this since what, 1890. I just don't understand...they bring other District Judges in to hear other cases in District Court, and they find the money for this so I don't understand...Senator Johnson says he is going to find out. Well, we all know the answer. They are just going to say we don't have the money. It is going to cost us another \$40,000 minimum. So what is \$40,000, you know. I just think it is a fine kettle of fish when the Supreme Court Justice comes down here and lobbies us for more money for salaries and pushes for this and pushes for that, and when the first time we ask or we need help, then he wants another \$40,000. So that is the way I feel about it so we have got no choice I guess.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. Senator Johnson, I would like to ask you a question if you would yield.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Johnson, before you respond, (gavel), may we please have a little quiet please. It is difficult to hear. (gavel). Thank you.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Yes, Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Did I understand you to say that we have a Supreme Court Justice whose daughter has been working in the Attorney General's Office and now he doesn't feel that he could be objective in this case? Did I hear right?